Evaluating Australian unconventional gas – use and misuse of north American analogues

PREPARED BY COLIN JORDAN REVIEWED BY GEOFF BARKER AND BRUCE GUNN



RISC ADVISORY

RISC (Resource Investment Strategy Consultants) is an independent advisory firm. We work in partnership with companies to support their interests in the oil and gas industry, offering the highest level technical, commercial and strategic advice to clients around the world.

With our input, clients are able to make key decisions with confidence. RISC delivers opinions, information and advice that considers the entire picture.

RISC offers a totally independent and broad perspective on energy projects, based on years of experience and an indepth understanding of the industry.



Disclosure

The statements and opinions in this presentation are given in good faith and in the belief that such statements are neither false nor misleading. RISC recommends that specific advice relating to your particular circumstances be obtained before implementing actions mentioned in this presentation.



BASIC AGENDA

- 1. Introduction
- North American & Australian shales
- 2. Shale Analogs: Impact of reservoir quality
- Examples
- 3. Shale Analogs: Petrophysical & stimulation complexities
- Examples
- 4. Shale Analogs: Completion & stimulation complexities
- Example
- 5. Shale Analogs: Economic considerations
- Examples
- 6. Demonstration & Conclusions
- 7. Backup Data



INTRODUCTION

NORTH AMERICAN & AUSTRALIAN SHALE PLAYS



North American shales varying in size, depth, location, and maturity. Despite key indicators of shale prospectivity, these shales show significant variability in deliverability, UR, and costs.

Source: EIA/Stanford University, 2013





RESOURCE COMPARISON

Australia has "world-class" shale. Challenge is performance comparisons

Parameter	Horn River (Canada)	Barnett (USA)	Eagle Ford (USA)	Cooper (Australia)	Perth (Australia)	Canning (Australia)
Target Formations	Muskwa / Otter/ Evie	N/A	N/A	Roseneath Epsilon Murteree	Carynginia & Kockatea	Goldwyer
OGIP (Tcf)	400 - 500	>700	250	342	198	≈480+
Gas Resource (Tcf)	78	64	21	85	✓ 60 – 90 ─ Estimates! -	300 →
Permeability* (md)	10 ⁻⁶ (maybe lower)	10 ⁻⁶	10 ⁻⁴	Highly variable. However, permeability is comparable to North American analogs.		
EUR /Well**	4 – 6	2 – 5	3 - 7	It is believed Australian shales are all capable producing between 2 to 6 Bcf/well, as observed in North America.		
NET Thickness (m)	182	100 - 200	30 - 90	>100 m	60 – 90	60 - 90
Liquids Potential	Negligible	Variable Yield	Low to High Yield	High Potential	Unknown	Possible

*Permeability affects gas production rate, and is highly variable in each basin. Nominal numbers presented.

** Not all shales in US and North America are economic due to high variability in production.

*** These numbers are based on public government documents, corporate reports, and SPE literature research



IMPACT OF RESERVOIR QUALITY

SHALE-TIGHT GAS CONTINUUM

Shale vs. Silts vs sandstone



- Tight gas, shales, and hybrids are all different petroleum systems:
 - Petrophysics,
 - Completion,
 - Stimulation,
 - Economics
- Each shales exhibits high vertical and lateral variability (despite lateral continuity)



SHALE-TIGHT GAS CONTINUUM





BARNETT PRODUCTION VARIABILITY

2002 - 2007 Barnett IP as function of Area



Barnett shale gas:

- Significant production variability
- Estimated up to 25% of Barnett wells can be unprofitable.
- Permeability and porosity is often highly variable within a particular shale.
- Shales contain tiers of reservoir quality, sweet spots, and fairways

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration 9



OTHER EXAMPLES



Years



Porosity is often documented to have ranges of 2 - 15%.

Permeability varies by factor of 10

UR is NOT repeatable statistical distribution



Source: SPE 164345

First Well

MARCELLUS EXAMPLE



Shales can have significant porosity and permeability variations, within relatively small areas



OIL WINDOW / CONDENSATE PRODUCTION

CANADIAN MONTNEY AND USA EAGLE FORD

Canadian Montney (Heritage Trend)

Eagle Ford



Thermal maturity is also highly variable within any particular shale



PETROPHYSICS & STIMULATION COMPLEXITIES

FRACTURE CONTINUUM

WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF ROCK TYPE?

North American Shales:

- Significant petrophysical differences
- Significant permeability ranges
- Highly variable thicknesses
- Therefore, highly variable fracturing and completion methods within each shale
- Therefore, highly variable fracturing and deliverability outcome



Source: B.C. Oil & Gas Commission

FRACTURING TRENDS IN MONTNEY (CANADA)



Fracturing design can be highly variable within any given shale

Backup: Montney Backup: Other Shale



WHERE DOES AUSTRALIA FIT?

What kind of fracture do we need for Australian shales



Brittleness Scale

Where does the other Australian Shales Fit?

What kind of stimulation will be required?

Will completion technology have an impact?

Stress orientation? Pre-existing fractures?

Materials supply



COMPLETIONS AND STIMULATION COMPLEXITIES



Fracture stimulation outcome varies with delivery method

Backup: Hz Well Completions



COMPLETIONS VARY BETWEEN SHALES

Montney stacked laterals Horn River pad drilling (24 wells per pad) rce play hub Middle Concentrated resource in case Pad drilling Manufacturing process Resource play hub owel 1 Mile

Source: EnCana 2011, Macquarie Tristone 2012



ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

COMPARISON OF SHALE PROJECTS

Economies of scale have allowed Canadian projects to compete with lower 48 projects, despite significant logistics and environmental challenges





HUB RESOURCE MODEL/CONCURRENT PROCESSES / PAD DRILLING





Montney (Canada)

- Substantial cost reductions
- 18% 25% cost savings for first 5 wells
- Cost reductions are due to a unique business model:
- Vertical integrated services
- "success fee" for contractors
- Stock piling
- New material sources
- **Concurrent processes**

DEMONSTRATION 1

- Recommended Process
 - Detailed review of analogue data on a local level
 - Rock and petrophysical properties
 - Completion methods
 - Stimulation methods
 - Business plan and model
 - Adjust your parameters for local conditions
 - Build your predictive model!



DEMONSTRATION 2 (MONTNEY CANADA)



Predictive model, after local adjustment, actually gave us a higher UR, and deliverability profile than traditional analogue.



CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION 1

- Shale analogues can be useful, however:
- Gross rock properties are not enough to define a shale analog.
- The petrophysical, completion, stimulation, and economic model often varies within any particular shale.
- Detailed comparative analysis of analogue data on local scale
- Need to compare with detailed local conditions of Australian shale
- Be prepared to modify and adjust predictive models



CONCLUSION 2

- The predictive, adjusted model for local conditions is the best estimate of UR, and deliverability for your Australian project
- Deliverability profiles may need to be adjusted depending upon availability of completion and/or stimulation equipment.
- Completion and stimulation plans may need to be altered depending upon the availability of supplies, and even business partners, which quite likely will affect business partners.





L3 / 1138 Hay Street WEST PERTH WA 6005 P. +61 8 9420 6660 F. +61 8 9420 6690 E. <u>admin@riscadvisory.com</u> L2 / 147 Coronation Drive MILTON QLD 4064 P. +61 7 3025 3369 F. +61 7 3025 3300 E. <u>admin@riscadvisory.com</u> 53 Chandos Place, Covent Garden LONDON WC2N 4HS P. +44 20 7484 8740 F. +44 20 7812 6677 E. <u>riscuk@riscadvisory.com</u>

www.riscadvisory.com